


NE IPM Center SWD Working Group: 2016 Survey Results

October 21, 2016

Jordan Hall, NYSAES, Cornell University, Geneva, NY


About the attendees --

What is your occupation? (choose one)



Answer	%	Count
Grower	16.98%	9
Consultant	13.21%	7
Educator	54.72%	29
Researcher	9.43%	5
Government Agency	5.66%	3
Total	100%	53

What SWD-susceptible crops do you grow or work on? (check as many as apply)



Answer	%	Count
Summer raspberry (floricane-fruiting)	77.08%	37
Fall raspberry (primocane-fruiting)	70.83%	34
Blackberry	54.17%	26
Lowbush blueberry	18.75%	9
Highbush blueberry	83.33%	40
June bearing strawberry	72.92%	35
Day-neutral strawberry	43.75%	21
Grapes	35.42%	17
Sweet cherry	31.25%	15
Tart Cherry	25.00%	12
Stone fruit	35.42%	17
Other	8.33%	4
Total	100%	48




United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food and
Agriculture

This Working Group is supported, in part, with funding from the Northeastern IPM Center and the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture.


About attendance --

Did you attend the SWD IPM Working Group meeting in Geneva, NY?



Answer	%	Count
Yes	34.69%	17
No	65.31%	32
Total	100%	49


I didn't attend the SWD IPM Working Group meeting on October 21, 2016 in Geneva, NY because... (check as many as apply)



Answer	%	Count
The location was too far away	25.81%	8
I no longer work on SWD	0.00%	0
I no longer grow crops that are susceptible to SWD	0.00%	0
The SWD meeting dates conflicted with another commitment	67.74%	21
I am no longer interested in participating in the SWD IPM Working Group	0.00%	0
The time of year (autumn) isn't good for me	22.58%	7
I have out-of-state or out-of-province travel restrictions	9.68%	3
Total	100%	31


Meeting preferences --

What part of the SWD Working Group meeting did you like the best? 😊 (choose one)



	Answer	%	Count
	Fruit industry impacts from the grower panel	26.67%	4
	Discussing, ranking, and rating the SWD Extension Priorities	13.33%	2
	"Stop SWD" website development information	0.00%	0
	Presentation on insecticide registration, organic products, and MRLs by the IR4 Program	6.67%	1
	Discussing, ranking, and rating the SWD Regulatory and Education Priorities	6.67%	1
	SWD posters and resource-sharing displays	0.00%	0
	"2016 Status of SWD" regional reports discussion	6.67%	1
	Overview of the National SWD SCRI project	0.00%	0
	Overview of the SWD organic OREI project	0.00%	0
	Discussing, ranking and rating the SWD Research Priorities	6.67%	1
	Setting the goal to develop IPM guidelines for brambles for 2017	6.67%	1
	Small break-out sessions to discuss priorities	26.67%	4
	Total	100%	15


What part of the SWD Working Group meeting did you like the least? ☹️ (choose one)



	Answer	%	Count
	Fruit industry impacts from the grower panel	7.69%	1
	Discussing, ranking and rating the SWD Extension Priorities	0.00%	0
	"Stop SWD" website development information	0.00%	0
	Presentation on insecticide registration, organic products, and MRLs by the IR4 Program	7.69%	1
	Discussing, ranking and rating the SWD Regulatory and Education Priorities	15.38%	2
	SWD posters and resource-sharing displays	23.08%	3
	"2016 Status of SWD" regional reports discussion	0.00%	0
	Overview of the National SWD SCRI project	0.00%	0
	Overview of the SWD organic OREI project	15.38%	2
	Discussing, ranking and rating the SWD Research Priorities	0.00%	0
	Setting the goal to develop IPM guidelines for brambles for 2017	7.69%	1
	Small break-out sessions to discuss priorities	23.08%	3
	Total	100%	13

Meeting impact --


What do you benefit from by attending the SWD IPM Working Group meeting? (check as many as apply)



	Answer	%	Count
	Updates on SWD IPM research	93.33%	14
	Updates on SWD IPM extension	80.00%	12
	Updates on SWD IPM regulatory issues	40.00%	6
	Priorities that help me successfully apply for grant funding	13.33%	2
	Priorities that help guide my SWD IPM program effort	60.00%	9
	Information that helps me manage SWD on my farm	33.33%	5
	Meeting with colleagues and establishing new and continuing collaborations	66.67%	10
	Gaining knowledge about the impact of SWD in different regions	60.00%	9
	Ability to give voice to my concerns about SWD impact on my crops	46.67%	7
	Total	100%	15

Meeting venue --

What aspect(s) of the SWD IPM Working Group meeting met with your approval? (choose as many as apply)



Answer	%	Count
Availability of beverages and snacks during the morning	93.33%	14
Lunch on site	93.33%	14
Availability of beverages and snacks during the afternoon	86.67%	13
Quality of break food and beverages	86.67%	13
Quality of lunch	86.67%	13
Dinner and mixer before the SWD IPM Working Group meeting	73.33%	11
Venue of Jordan Hall auditorium and lounge	73.33%	11
Total	100%	15

Is there any other feedback that you would like to share to improve future SWD IPM Working Group meetings?

Please keep these meetings going. I think it is a good opportunity for people across the region to sit at the same table and discuss progress and goals. Thanks.
We spent way too much time discussing Priorities.
This was a very valuable meeting; great to exchange information about state programs regionally.
Thank you to organizers.
Provide a written report of the outcome of the meeting to share with fruit producers and publications.
Move to eastern NY in 2017. Geneva was nice but eastern NY is more central for those in New England
The only reason that I ranked the poster session poorly is that I didn't think it was promoted well. It could have been a great resource.