

NESIWG 2012 Priority Results

The following School IPM MANAGEMENT (implementation) needs were ranked from highest to lowest priority by the Northeast School IPM Working Group Members.

Priority	Rank
Identify and piggyback with ongoing environmental health efforts and coordinate with partners in promoting IPM to help schools (including child care facilities) to meet health, high performance and safety, economic, and energy efficiency goals.	1
Assist schools in prioritizing major pest management needs, especially with current budgetary constraints.	2
At school district and/or local school level, establish or use existing diverse local stakeholder committees to advocate for policies and procedures that implement proven IPM strategies and practices.	3
Track adoption of IPM practices in schools and disseminate economic, environmental and/or health impacts of IPM (e.g. case studies, research data).	3
Form a stakeholder coalition to advocate for establishment of IPM laws and policies where none exist.	5
Implement and enforce existing laws and policies at the highest level of economic and regulatory accountability.	6
Recognize schools, organizations and pest management providers that practice verifiable high level IPM.	6

The following School IPM RESEARCH needs were ranked from highest to lowest priority by the Northeast School IPM Working Group Members.

Priority	Rank
Identify efficacious least-risk products and tools to manage pests.	1
Compile data/information on effects of pesticides and pests on children's health and academic performance, and the influence of IPM in addressing health and performance indicators.	2
Evaluate efficacy and risk/benefits of EPA-exempt (25b) products.	3
Evaluate building design, construction, renovation, and maintenance criteria (such as 'green buildings', LEED(Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design), LEED for Schools EB (Existing Buildings), CHPS (Coalition of High Performing Schools), EPA(Environmental Protection Agency) Tools for Schools (Indoor Air Quality), HealthySEAT (School Environmental Assessment Tool), sports fields and landscape design criteria, etc) for presence of IPM principles and practices and rates of adoption and provide recommendations for inclusion of IPM principles/tactics in these criteria.	4

Research and evaluate outreach methods to determine most effective methods for school/community audiences.	4
Develop analysis tools and conduct in-depth inspections of schools to determine what pest management practices are really being used.	6
Research on the impact of pest management on indoor and outdoor school environmental health (eg school's well water, school gardens, use on adjacent properties).	7
Research on effective teaching methods to teach students and teachers about IPM.	8
Compile, update, and evaluate state requirements and resources for school IPM.	9

The following School IPM REGULATORY needs needs were ranked from highest to lowest priority by the Northeast School IPM Working Group Members.	
Priority	Rank
Enforce existing IPM laws and policies, at the level of fiduciary and regulatory accountability, and establish these laws and policies where none exist.	1
Review Pesticide Safety Education core standards and make recommendations to include IPM principles and practices.	2
Identify and promote interagency cooperation among regulatory, environmental, health, insurance, education, and other agencies.	3
Encourage states to adopt certification programs for indoor and outdoor school IPM providers.	4
Evaluate regulatory approaches to use of EPA exempt (25b) products and determine if these products are accessible for use by schools under existing state and federal regulations.	5
Incorporate IPM into school wellness legislation.	6
Advocate for funds for enforcement of pesticide regulations	7
Quantify costs to regulatory agencies for enforcement of school IPM regulations.	8
Include students and teachers in OSHA-like protections.	9
Advocate for change at federal level (SEPA: School Environmental Protection Act, NCLB: No Child Left Behind, HHPS Act).	10

The following School IPM EDUCATION/OUTREACH needs needs were ranked from highest to lowest priority by the Northeast School IPM Working Group Members.	
Priority	Rank
Develop and utilize educational methods appropriate for the audience (for example for facilities directors, administrators, teachers, rural, suburban and urban audiences) and conduct outreach to all stakeholder groups (teachers, athletic managers and coaches, staff, students, facilities managers, administration, policy makers, regulators, vendors, building owners, occupants, community members, families).	1

Implement/promote K-12 curriculum-based education. Promote IPM Service Learning for example using school buildings/grounds and community settings. Promote inclusion of IPM in education standards.	2
Educate policy makers about the needs and benefits of IPM in terms of dollars, health, environment and academic performance. Advocate for funds for IPM education.	3
Outreach to schools and the public about turf management options that are sustainable, organic, and/or use IPM management practices.	4
Coordinate and piggyback education efforts with parallel efforts (ie 'Tools for Schools' type programs).	5
Conduct pilot demonstrations in schools in the northeast region.	6
Work with vendors of pest management, custodial services and supplies and other services to provide IPM education, supplies and service.	6
Educate school IPM coordinators/facilities director on how to interpret service tickets/invoices from pest control providers. Develop model IPM service records for use in promoting easily understood and comprehensive service records including non-pesticide solutions.	8
Improve linkages between regulatory agencies and Cooperative Extension.	9
Promote inclusion of IPM lessons into teacher education programs at universities.	9